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ABSTRACT. Despite the high landings of elasmobranch in Peru, little is known about some aspects of their basic biology, including their trophic ecology. The present study aims to provide basic information regarding the isotopic niche and trophic interactions of four pelagic elasmobranchs of commercial importance in northern Peru (Alopias spp., Galeorhinus galeus, Sphyra zygaena, and Mobula japanica). One hundred and twenty-four samples were collected from fishing activities between January and December 2015 and processed for stable isotopes analysis ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$), using Bayesian statistics to describe their isotopic niche. Differences between species were assessed using PERMANOVA and PERMDISP, allowing testing length, sex, and latitude as covariates. These combined results suggest trophic redundancy among sharks. However, unique areas of no overlap in the SEA$_C$ of all species could evidence a broad niche with a low interaction between these sharks. M. japanica had no overlap with any shark species, which indicates a trophic niche that is distinct from other elasmobranch top predators. Increasing sample size and including temporal covariates should help define these isotopic niches better, either by merging or splitting the studied elasmobranchs into more specific groupings. Further complementary studies are required to better understand trophic interactions within the pelagic community ecosystems.
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Elasmobranch populations are in decline worldwide due to fisheries overexploitation (Baum et al., 2003; Dulvy et al., 2008; Fowler, 2014), leading to an international focus on their long-term conservation (Worm et al., 2013; Dulvy et al., 2014). This may have implications that extend beyond simple conservation concerns, given the important ecological roles they have, such as food web regulation (Baum et al., 2009; Borrell et al., 2011).

In Peru, elasmobranch captures occur mainly in small-scale gillnet and longline fisheries, covering not only the fin trade demand but also the consumption of shark and rays flesh at local markets (Alfaro-Shigueto et al., 2010; Alfaro-Cordova et al., 2017). These fisheries have the largest cumulative landings in the Pacific Ocean (Gonzalez-Pestana et al., 2014). Despite these high levels of local consumption, very little is known about the ecological roles of commercial species, and consequently, the impacts of elasmobranch fisheries on the exploited species, and the wider ecosystem. Usually, feeding ecology has been addressed via the analysis of stomach contents, which gives a snapshot of the last items eaten by the studied consumers at the time of capture. Complementary techniques for the analysis of trophic ecology have been developing over the last decades, aiming to improve both spatial and temporal resolutions, such as the use of stable isotopes.

Stable isotopes are used as natural tracers of trophic structure to obtain complementary information related to the assimilation of prey, as opposed to simple consumption (Fry, 2006; Michener & Lajtha, 2007). Due to fractionation and mixing processes as well as consumer assimilation, excretion and turnover rates, carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios (expressed by $\delta$, where $\delta^{13}C = \frac{^{13}C}{^{12}C}$ and $\delta^{15}N = \frac{^{15}N}{^{14}N}$) can be detec-
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table in a predictable manner across marine food webs (Michener & Schell, 1994). Stable isotope analyses of elasmobranchs have provided valuable information about their feeding habitats and trophic positions (Hussey et al., 2012). However, considering the high variability of trophic roles of elasmobranchs among ecosystems and species, further studies are necessary.

The present study provides basic information on trophic interactions of four pelagic elasmobranchs captured by small-scale driftnet fisheries in northern Peru: the pelagic sharks Alopias spp., Sphyrna zygaena and Galeorhinus galeus, and the batoid Mobula japonica. Values of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N were used to characterize their isotopic niche and estimate their trophic position, in order to further understand their inter-specific connectivity.

Onboard observations were made from January to December 2015 aboard small-scale driftnet fishing vessels targeting pelagic elasmobranchs and operating between 03°25’-09°56’S and 83°07’-79°18’W (Fig. 1). The total length (TL) and sex of each elasmobranch were recorded. One hundred and twenty-four muscle tissue samples were collected from the base of the dorsal fin for sharks and from the pectoral fin for mobulids (Table 1), and stored embedded in non-iodized salt.

Tissue samples were washed with distilled water and disected. Lipid and urea were removed using a solution of chloroform: methanol (2:1), followed by a wash with milli-Q water (Logan et al., 2008; Li et al., 2015).

Then, samples were oven-dried at 60°C for 18-24 h. ca. 0.5 mg of dry tissue was placed in pre-weighted tin capsules and stored in vacuum-sealed well plates. The isotopic composition of each sample was analyzed at the Laboratorio de Análisis Isotópico (LA1) of Universidad Andrés Bello. The laboratory used a Eurovector elemental analyzer coupled to a Micromass Isoprime isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Stable isotope ratios were presented according to the delta (δ) notation, where the relative variations of stable isotope ratios are expressed in parts-per-thousand from predefined standards (VPDB for carbon and AIR for nitrogen). δ¹³C or δ¹⁵N is calculated by the following equation: δ = [(R_sample/R_standard) - 1] × 1000, where R is ¹³C/¹²C or ¹⁵N/¹⁴N, respectively. Analysis precision was ±0.14‰ for δ¹⁵N and ±0.07‰ for δ¹³C.

The isotopic niche width, which can be used as a proxy for ecological niche width, (Newsome et al., 2007) of each elasmobranch species, as well as comparisons among them, were analyzed using Bayesian statistics. All individual values were plotted per species in isotopic space (i.e., δ¹⁵N vs δ¹³C) and used to calculate their mean core isotopic niche area in terms of their corrected standard ellipse areas (SEAc), which accounts for small samples sizes (Jackson et al., 2011). Thus, SEAc was used to compare the degree of overlap among species. Bayesian estimates of the standard ellipse areas (SEAd) were also calculated to observe the degree of uncertainty around each SEAc. To get a broad understanding of trophic diversity and redundancy among the studied species, community-wide trophic structure metrics were calculated following Layman et al. (2007), obtaining values for: i) δ¹³N range (NR), ii) δ¹³C range (CR), iii) total area (TA), iv) mean distance to centroid (CD), v) Mean nearest neighbour distance (MNND), and vi) Standard deviation of MNND (SDNND). Metrics were obtained from the maximum likelihood values of their probability distributions using Bayesian inference, which allows for statistical comparisons between communities (Jackson et al., 2011). The values of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N were also tested for statistical differences between and within species, through the PERMANOVA and PERMDISP routines (Anderson, 2001, 2006), using total length (TL), sex and latitude as covariates. Isotopic and TL values were log10-transformed.

The trophic position (TP) of each individual elasmobranch was estimated by applying the Post (2002) equation: \( TP_{pred} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{pred} - \delta^{15}N_{base}}{TEF + TP_{base}} \), where \( TP_{pred} \) is the trophic position of the elasmobranch; \( \delta^{15}N_{pred} \) is the stable nitrogen signature of the elasmobranch; \( \delta^{15}N_{base} \) is the stable nitrogen signature of the dietary baseline; \( TEF \) is the trophic enrichment factor between an elasmobranch and its prey; and, \( TP_{base} \) is the trophic position of the baseline. We used the TEF proposed by Kim et al. (2012) for elasmobranchs (TEF = 3.7‰) and copepods (TP = 2.5) sampled in the study area as baseline species (Espinoza, 2014). Considering the presence of intense and shallow oxygen minimum zones south of ~7.5ºS, related to δ¹⁵N enrichment in the environment (Espinoza, 2014), values of TP were estimated per consumer captured either north or south of latitude 7.5ºS, by using δ¹⁵N values of copepods sampled in both areas (7.6‰ for the north and 9.8‰ for the south) (Espinoza, 2014). All statistical analysis and mathematical calculations were performed using the R language (R Development Core Team, 2016).

Most of the studied species were captured over a wide range of locations (Fig. 1), except G. galeus, which showed only coastal interactions with fisheries, between latitudes 5°25’S and 7°45’S, approximately. S. zygaena, Alopias spp. and M. japonica have been shown to be highly migratory species, while G. galeus to be a less migratory, coastal benthopelagic species (Couturier et al., 2012; Fowler, 2014). Furthermore,
although *M. japanica* individuals were caught down to around latitude 9º15’S, most individuals were caught in northern Peru (~3º30’S), and mainly near the coast.

*Alopias* spp. and *S. zygaena* had similar SEA values, and showed relatively large isotopic overlap (Tables 2-3, Figs. 2-3). The latter exhibited a larger range of $\delta^{15}$N, which was slightly shifted towards higher values. This suggests that they could be sharing resources, as shown by previous studies on stomach contents analysis in the eastern Pacific (Castañeda &
Sandoval, 2004; Polo-Silva et al., 2013). The ellipses from both sharks were close to G. galeus in isotopic space (Fig. 2). Its SEAc was located towards enriched values of δ13C and showed only a slight overlap of 1.8% with Alopias spp. (Table 2). In terms of convex hulls (total area occupied by each species in isotopic space, see Fig. 2), both G. galeus and Alopias spp. were almost embedded in S. zygaena, which presented the largest ranges of δ13C and δ15N, 2.74 and 8.25‰, respectively. None of these overlapped with M. japanica in terms of SEAc, stretching in total from about -18‰ to -15‰, with a range of δ15N of only around 1‰.

Both PERMANOVA and PERMDISP showed significant differences between species (P-value <0.01, R2 = 60%; and P-value <0.01, respectively), while showing no significant differences for TL (i.e., log TL) and sex. However, S. zygaena showed that latitudinal variations were significant in explaining its isotopic niche (PERMANOVA, P-value <0.01), though only δ15N showed a tendency towards enriched values at lower latitudes. Pairwise comparisons between the location of species in isotopic space showed that M. japanica was significantly different from all other species (P-value <0.01), as well as Alopias spp. from G. galeus (P-value <0.05) and S. zygaena (P-value <0.01). However, despite not finding any overlap between the SEAc of S. zygaena and G. galeus, the PERMANOVA did not find any significant differences between the two species. Comparisons between the dispersions (PERMDISP) showed that only M. japanica had significant differences with Alopias spp. and S. zygaena.

The fact that the convex hull of G. galeus fitted inside S. zygaena, and that both dispersions were not significantly different (P-value = 0.096), may be the reason why PERMANOVA did not detect them as two separate groups. Furthermore, while this tool is robust against heterogeneity of dispersions and unbalanced designs (Anderson, 2006), both the low sample size of G. galeus and the high dispersion of S. zygaena, result in higher uncertainty. Future designs should aim for more balanced sample sizes, with at least 30 samples per species. This way the covariates may play a bigger role in explaining the isotopic niches with less uncertainty, either by merging or splitting the groups (Table 4).

These results suggest that both G. galeus and M. japonica have almost unique isotopic niches and resource pools, in contrast with the other studied species. Likewise, high proportions of isotopic areas remain unique for all species, suggesting that they also feed on a variety of other prey items (Shaw et al., 2016), or throughout different areas. However, it is important to consider that these are results from a partial community of consumers, as no teleost predators or mammals were included in the analysis.

Community metrics corroboration other recent studies with similar species and trophic structure (Li et al., 2015; Shaw et al., 2016). The range of values for δ13C and δ15N were ~1.79 and ~3.83, respectively (Fig. 3). Values of mean centroid distance and mean nearest neighbor distance (i.e., CD ~1.35 and MNND ~1.54) indicate similar elasmobranch diet diversity and high trophic redundancy.

Even though this partial community does not comprise a full food chain, the range of δ15N (NR ~3.83) reveals at least one trophic level of difference between the secondary consumer M. japonica and the top predator S. zygaena, which is supported by their difference in the calculated mean trophic position (ΔTPNorth ~1.16, ΔTPSouth ~1.01, Table 1). The mean TP estimated for M. japonica for both north and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speciesi</th>
<th>Alopias spp.</th>
<th>G. galeus</th>
<th>S. zygaena</th>
<th>M. japanica</th>
<th>SEAc</th>
<th>SEA0 ML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alopias spp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. galeus</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zygaena</td>
<td>38.97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. japanica</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Percentage of isotopic niche overlap among the four study species using their corrected standard ellipse areas (SEAc). Values represent the percentage of consumer i being shared with consumer j. SEAc: corrected standard ellipse area of consumer i; SEA0 ML: the maximum likelihood of the standard ellipse area of consumer i, using Bayesian inference.

Table 3. Statistical significances (P-values) of the pairwise comparison of the four study species, using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson, 2001) to account for differences between them (**/*highly significant).
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Figure 2. A plot of $\delta^{15}$N vs. $\delta^{13}$C values of all individuals of the four analyzed elasmobranch species. Colored lines: corrected standard ellipse areas (SEA$_{C}$), based on a maximum likelihood Bayesian framework, which represent the isotopic niche area of each species. Dashed lines: convex hull or total isotopic area covered by each species.

Table 4. Statistical significances ($P$-values) of the pairwise comparison of the four study species, using permutational multivariate analysis of homogeneity of group dispersions or variances (PERMDISP, Anderson, 2006) to account for differences between them (***highly significant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species$_{ij}$</th>
<th>Alopias spp.</th>
<th>G. galeus</th>
<th>S. zygaena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alopias spp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. galeus</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. zygaena</td>
<td>0.02***</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>&lt;0.01***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. japonica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Resultant uncertainty in the six community-wide metrics of trophic structure (Layman et al., 2007) for the five species of consumers, calculated using Bayesian inference. Black dots represent each mode, and grey boxes the credible intervals for 50%, 75%, and 95%, from dark to light, respectively (Jackson et al., 2011). Red crosses show the true population values based on a maximum likelihood estimate. NR: range, CR: $\delta^{13}$C range, TA: total area, CD: distance to centroid, MNND: mean nearest neighbour distance and, SDNND: standard deviation of MNND.

south (3.65 and 2.88, respectively) were among those published by Sampson et al. (2010) in the Gulf of California. These results might suggest a similar diet for *M. japonica* along the Eastern Pacific. The trophic positions of *G. galeus*, *Alopias* spp. and *S. zygaena* found in this study are in the range of values found in other studies of the eastern Pacific (Castañeda & Sandoval, 2004; Galván-Magaña et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016) and of the north-eastern Atlantic for *G. galeus* (Ellis et al., 1996).

Given the combined results of the SIBER routine, the Bayesian community metrics and the PERMANOVA and PERMDISP assessments, there is an indication of a certain degree of niches overlap and trophic redundancy, especially between the three shark species. However, given the migratory nature and the slow turnover rates in the muscle tissue of all four species (MacNeil et al., 2005; Logan & Lutcavage, 2010; Malpica-Cruz et al., 2012), it is difficult to address remaining questions on ecological niches and spatial isotopic gradients. This community’s isotopic
niches might also be shared with another top- and meso-predators, not included in this study. Increasing the number of species assessed, increasing sample sizes, focusing on all sizes and both sexes, as well as covering larger areas and longer time periods, will shed light on questions dealing with both inter- and intra-specific variations. Furthermore, isotopic niche studies should be compared to each other in terms of SEA and the uncertainty surrounding such values, based on Bayesian inference and probability distributions.

In order to better understand the relationship between resource use and interactions between different elasmobranch species, further work should focus on analyzing isotope values of principal prey species and tracking individuals toward gathering more precise information on distribution and movement patterns.
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