# Short Communication



# Sexual maturity stage, reproductive indices, and fecundity in striped mullet female *Mugil cephalus* in Bahía de Buenaventura, Colombian Pacific coast

Lury N. García-Núñez<sup>1</sup> 🔍 & Adriana Rodríguez-Forero<sup>2</sup> 🔍

<sup>1</sup>Tecnología en Acuicultura, Universidad del Pacífico, Buenaventura, Colombia <sup>2</sup>Ingeniería Pesquera, Universidad del Magdalena, Santa Marta, Colombia

Corresponding author: Lury N. García-Núñez (lngarcia@unipacifico.edu.co)

**ABSTRACT.** The stage of sexual maturity, fecundity, and relationship of gonadosomatic (GSI), hepatosomatic (HSI), and hepatogonadal (HGI) indices in mullet *Mugil cephalus*, captured in Bahía de Buenaventura, was examined in the present study. With the help of artisanal fishermen, 21 specimens (all females) were collected in February 2024. The mullets measured in total length between 28.0 and 35.5 cm ( $30.8 \pm 2.3$  cm standard deviation) and weighed between 200.0 and 364.5 g ( $246.6 \pm 43.6$  g). The fecundity of females weighing 257.8  $\pm 48.9$  g was estimated at 423,659  $\pm 103,208$  oocytes. The GSI and HSI increased from  $1.0 \pm 0.4$  to  $6.4 \pm 1.5\%$  and from  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  to  $1.0 \pm 0.1\%$  in immature to mature females, respectively. Also, significantly different from each other, the values of the HGI in immature females were 72.2  $\pm 16.3\%$ , compared to that of mature females of  $17.2 \pm 4.6\%$ . Since the liver is responsible for the synthesis and production of vitellogenin, which is then taken up by the oocytes in the gonad (ovary), the HGI may also be a very useful indicator of sexual maturity in mullet, as well as for other fishes.

Keywords: Mugilidae; *Mugil cephalus*; hepatogonadal index (HGI); gonadosomatic index (GSI); hepatosomatic index (HSI)

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Mullets (taxonomic family Mugilidae) have a circumtropical distribution and are one of the main fish species consumed worldwide, especially in coastal and island communities. Although mullet fisheries still constitute around 70-80% of the available world production, their economic and culinary importance has led them to be cultivated for centuries. Today, they occupy the third place of marine/coastal species in culture after the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and the milkfish (*Chanos chanos*), in tonnes annually (FAO 2022).

In Colombia, mullets are one of the most important fisheries on the Caribbean and Pacific coasts. They are mainly caught by hand with cast and trammel nets. Due to their popularity for food security and high market demand, many mullet populations have collapsed. In Colombia, this is reflected in a drastic decrease (from around 200 to 50 t annually) in fish landings of *Mugil cephalus* on the Pacific coast, reported from 1970 to 2014 (Selvaraj et al. 2020).

In attempts to reduce/replace marine fishing catches and meet the growing demand for seafood products, aquaculture is a promising agricultural activity that has taken great interest at the institutional and private business levels. Only in the last 10 years has global aquaculture production equaled and surpassed the total fishery catch (FAO 2022). This article is an observational study that contributes to establishing and developing the captive production technology of mullet *M. cephalus* in the Colombian Pacific region and its conservation in the wild. Establishing a breeding stock is essential to supply the seed, as is the case with any

Associate Editor: Enrique Dupré

agricultural system. In this sense, the main objective was to evaluate the reproductive maturity status and reproductive indices of *M. cephalus* females in Buenaventura Bay, Colombia.

Sampling was carried out in Bahía de Buenaventura, Colombia's principal seaport on the Pacific coast. Local fishermen used their knowledge and know-how to determine the location of the mullets and estimate the numbers caught on a routine day fishing trip. On the recommendation of local fishermen and merchants, sampling was conducted in February (2024), which is believed to be the mullet's breeding season.

A total of 21 mullets were captured, which were fixed and preserved in a 10% neutral buffered formalin solution. Sex was determined by micro- and macroscopic examination of the gonads (Table 1); microanatomy samples were embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Biometrics were performed, the liver and gonad were weighed (Table 1), and the total number of oocytes was estimated for each female. Length (total and standard) was measured with a ruler ( $\pm 1$  mm), and weights were recorded using a scale ( $\pm$  0.1 g). several biological indices were calculated to establish the state of sexual maturity (immature or mature) (Rizzo & Bazzoli 2020): the gonadosomatic index (GSI) and the presence or absence of vitellogenic oocytes were determined. Also, because the liver is an essential metabolic organ responsible for producing the volk accumulated by the oocytes, the hepatosomatic (HSI) and hepatogonadal (HGI) indices were calculated. To record the GSI, the weight of the gonad (GW) was related to the body weight (BW) of the fish; thus, the proportion (percentage) indicative of the GSI [GSI = (GW / BW)] $\times$  100]. In turn, the equivalent HSI and HGI were related to the proportion of the weight of the liver (LW) about the BW of the fish and the gonad, respectively  $[HSI = (LW / BW) \times 100; HGI = LW / GW) \times 100].$ Fecundity was estimated by counting only oocytes larger than 0.4 mm sifted through No. 40 mesh sieve (425  $\mu$ m); oocytes with a larger diameter were in an advanced state of vitellogenesis (McDonough et al. 2003); easily identified as opaque and yellowish. Striped mullets are considered total spawners with synchronous oocyte development (Greeley et al. 1987, McDonough et al. 2003). To reduce the error of estimation on fecundity, five random samples of 0.05 g were taken from the middle region of both ovary sacs; the number of oocytes in the samples was subsequently counted and averaged. The average number of oocytes in the samples was multiplied by the total weight of the gonad to estimate the fecundity of each female (Das

1977, Greeley et al. 1987, McDonough et al. 2003). The diameters of oocytes in different samples were measured using a transparent ruler set on the stage of a stereoscope and viewed under 10x optical magnification. For each female, the average oocyte diameter was estimated and then recorded.

The oocytes were primarily round, and the largest had a slightly oblong edge, measuring between 0.4 and 0.6 mm (Table 2). Estimates for the number of oocytes (fecundity) were between 315,216 and 669,460, with an average of 423,659  $\pm$  103,208 oocytes per female, 30.8  $\pm$  2.8 cm TL, and an average weight of 257.8  $\pm$  48.9 g; equivalent to about 1,223 to 2,597 oocytes per gram of body weight (Table 2).

The GSI and HSI indices increased from  $1.0 \pm 0.4$  to  $6.4 \pm 1.5\%$  and from  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  to  $1.0 \pm 0.1\%$  in immature to mature females, respectively. Also, significantly different from each other, the HGI values in mature females were  $17.2 \pm 4.6\%$ , compared to that of immature females of  $72.2 \pm 16.3\%$ , a quadruple difference (Table 3).

The results of this study confirm the presence of mature females in Bahía de Buenaventura in February. Although a small number of mullet was captured during a daytime fishing activity trip, the sample size should be considered appropriate, especially in establishing a breeding stock. Most importantly, the finding coincides with observations from experienced fishermen in the region. Correspondingly, this month is within the period that encompasses the spawning season of the species in other regions of the Pacific coast and the Gulf of Mexico (Finucane et al. 1978, Espino-Barr et al. 2016, Bhakta et al. 2024, Castellanos-Juárez et al. 2024).

Since mature females (no males) were captured within the bay, it can be assumed that a spawning aggregation has not occurred yet and may happen offshore, similarly to what occurs with the same species in other regions (Finucane et al. 1978, Soyinka 2014). Even so, the spawning grounds of striped mullets in the Colombian Pacific are unknown and must be determined.

In this study, the GSI was used to indicate gonadal maturity. The GSI increased from  $1.0 \pm 0.4$  to  $6.4 \pm 1.5\%$  in immature to mature females, and the GSI in mature females was between 4.6 to 9.7%. These values were within those reported for the species in different geographical regions (7.7 to 27.5%; McDonough et al. 2003, Ramos-Santiago et al. 2010). Also, comparing fecundity (315,216 to 669,460 oocytes) from mature females in Bahía de Buenaventura with figures of the

Female	Weight	Standard length	Gonad	Liver
(mature or immature)	(g)	(cm)	(g)	(g)
Mature	301.5	26.8	21.0	3.0
Mature	266.4	24.3	17.5	2.4
Mature	208.9	25.0	9.9	2.0
Mature	222.9	24.0	15.8	2.3
Mature	364.5	28.5	17.9	4.6
Mature	252.9	26.3	11.5	2.7
Mature	238.1	22.5	15.1	2.3
Mature	222.3	23.8	13.3	2.5
Mature	213.4	23.5	20.7	2.7
Mature	287.2	24.6	21.4	2.7
Immature	321.2	27.0	2.8	2.4
Immature	230.1	23.0	1.8	1.3
Immature	267.4	25.5	4.9	2.2
Immature	235.2	24.4	3.1	2.7
Immature	262.5	23.5	3.8	2.8
Immature	211.1	23.0	3.2	1.7
Immature	236.4	24.0	2.2	1.9
Immature	200.0	24.0	1.4	0.7
Immature	200.1	22.0	2.1	1.5
Immature	243.0	25.3	1.5	1.4
Immature	192.9	27.0	1.7	1.3

Table 1. Stage of sexual maturity, body size, and gonad and liver weights in female Mugil cephalus.

Table 2. Estimates of body size and number of oocytes in mature female mullet *Mugil cephalus*.

Total length	Weight	Number of oocytes	Oocyte diameter
(cm)	(g)	(fecundity)	(mm)
$30.8\pm2.8$	$257.8\pm48.9$	315,216 - 669,460	0.4 - 0.6

same species in other regions, the numbers were within the ranges reported in the literature: 18,525 to 5,200,000 oocytes (Das 1977, Finucane et al. 1978, McDonough et al. 2003, Ramos-Santiago et al. 2010, Soyinka 2014, Rao & Babu 2016). Likewise, the diameters of oocytes (0.4-0.6 mm) from mature females were within the ranges reported in other populations (between 0.46 and 0.68 mm, McDonough et al. 2003). Egg production data for *M. cephalus* regarding fecundity, fertilization, and hatching rates were provided by Vallainc et al. (2021).

Although outside the framework of this study, it should be noted that in this study, one female with a low GSI (4.9%) contained the highest number of oocytes (669,460); similarly, other females in the study with a low GSI (4.6 and 4.7%), had figures with high numbers of oocytes (e.g. 357,880 and 315,216, respectively). Comparing these numbers with other studies outside the region, it should be of consideration that the mullet population in the Buenaventura region has been subjected to overfishing, resulting in a possible reduction in the size of reproductive individuals, and this topic should be investigated further. For an excellent and thorough recent review of sex ratio, fecundity, and length at first maturity in mullet, the readers are referred to Bhakta et al. (2024).

This study also used the HSI as an index of sexual maturity. Like the GSI, it increased significantly from  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  to  $1.0 \pm 0.1\%$  in immature to mature females, respectively. Similarly, in *M. cephalus*, increases in the HSI during gonadal development have been observed by others (Espino-Barr et al. 2016, Boubekeur & Ramdane 2021, Titouah et al. 2022, Ramos-Júdez et al. 2023).

In addition to another index, the authors propose the HGI as a valuable indicator of mullet sexual maturity. In this study, significant differences between the HGI of immature ( $72.2 \pm 16.3\%$ ) and mature ( $17.2 \pm 4.6\%$ ) females were discriminated. The HGI may be a viable index since the liver is responsible for the synthesis and

reproductive activity in female mullet *Mugil cephalus*. \*P < 0.05, t-statistic. 

 Female
 GSI
 HSI
 HGI

 (Sexual maturity)
 (%)
 (%)
 (%)

Table 3. Gonadosomatic (GSI), hepatosomatic (HSI), and hepatogonadal (HGI) indices are biometric indicators of

Female	GSI	HSI	HGI
(Sexual maturity)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Immature	$1.0\pm0.4$	$0.8\%\pm0.2$	$72.2\%\pm16.3$
Mature	$6.4\pm1.5^{\ast}$	$1.0\% \pm 0.1^{*}$	$17.2\% \pm 4.6^{*}$

production of vitellogenin, which is then taken up by the oocytes in the ovary. The liver also changes in size according to the gonadal status in both females and males (Cerdá et al. 1996, Çek et al. 2001, Lubzens et al. 2010, Nunes et al. 2011, Bertolini et al. 2020, Rizzo & Bazzoli 2020, Liu et al. 2021). The HSI increases just before and during the early ripening of the gonad but then decreases as the gonad approaches sexual maturity (Rizzo & Bazzoli 2020). This study, in particular, is the possible reason for the inverse relationship between the HGI of immature and mature females, inferred from the mobilization of nutrients and the synthesis of vitellogenin (Vtg) by the liver in the early stages of vitellogenesis, followed by the production and release of Vtg for sequestration by oocytes.

The use of the HGI may be preferred instead of the HSI or GSI, especially because the relationship between the liver and gonad is directly linked to the formation and sequestration of the yolk, unlike the relationship between the gonad or liver and the somatic tissue, which can also increase or decline according to periods of nutrient availability and mobilization. It is also easier to display or visualize percentage differential values of the indices, with the HGI being on a numerical scale of whole figures (e.g. 72.2 to 17.2%) and HSI's typically being in decimals (e.g. 0.8 to 1.0%). Making things more complex, the practice and convenience of using GSI as an indicator of gonadal development or spawning readiness has been debated, particularly with species that are partial spawners or have protracted spawning seasons (de Vlaming et al. 1982), which may be the case for *M. cephalus*. Where, for example, in the northern hemisphere, the spawning season is long, occurring between October and April (Finucane et al. 1978, Rivas 1980, McDonough et al. 2003, Saoudi & Aoun 2014, Assem et al. 2015, Castellanos-Juárez et al. 2024). Although it is well established that there is a significant correlation between the GSI and female size and fecundity in many species, including M. cephalus, the spread of values for mullet GSI and fecundity are too large. For example, GSI percentages reported for the species ranged between 7.7 and 27.5% (McDonough et al. 2003, Ramos-Santiago et al. 2010).

After an extensive review of the literature, particularly after the popularization, beginning mostly with Bagenal (1967), of the concepts of relating sexual maturity in fish to gonad weights and fecundity, very few references were found, and none in mullets on the use of the HGI. However, the principles and advantages of using HGI as another indicator of sexual maturity in fish were proposed and have been discussed mainly by researchers in Russia (Krivobok 1964).

The results of this study present the first data on the breeding season and fecundity of the mullet M. cephalus in Bahía de Buenaventura. The data are not only useful for the characterization of fishing productivity but also as a comprehensive evaluation of realistic expectations on the reproductive output of the species (e.g. number of offspring) in the wild, especially during induced breeding practices; induced breeding is necessary for the technological and scientific development for the cultivation of the species. Advances in induced reproduction of mullets have been and still are one of the main obstacles to the cultivation of mullets in captivity. In the present study, there was a significant difference in the HGI between the immature and mature females, and to our knowledge, the first reported for the species, and certainly in the region. It may be very useful to consider using the HGI as a biometric indicator of sexual maturity in mullet and other fish.

### Credit author contribution

L.N. García-Núñez: conceptualization, validation, methodology, formal analysis, writing-original draft; supervision, review, and editing; A. Rodríguez-Forero: funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, validation, methodology, review, and editing. All authors have read and accepted the published version of the manuscript.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the fishermen from Bahía Buenaventura for sharing their fishing knowledge and talents. We also thank María Isabel Rivera-Rosero for her unconditional help processing the samples. We also thank our institutions for their academic support and the time permitted (10 hours per week to L.N. García-Núñez and 15 hours per week to A. Rodríguez-Forero) to carry out this study. This study is part of the project with Registration Code 82521, entitled "Ensayos de producción de *Mugil cephalus* en condiciones controladas", National Ctel Program 890-2020, with a duration of 36 months (until 2025), whose main executor is Universidad del Magdalena.

#### REFERENCES

- Assem, S.S., Rahman, S.H.A., Al-Absawey, M.A.G. & Mourad, M.M. 2015. Biological, histological and ultra-structural studies of female mullet, *Mugil cephalus*, ovaries collected from different habitats during annual reproductive cycle. African Journal of Biotechnology, 14: 2400-2414.
- Bagenal, T.B. 1967. A short review of fish fecundity. In: Gerking, S.D. (Ed.). The biological basis of freshwater fish production. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 89-11.
- Bertolini, F., Jørgensen, M.G.P., Henkel, C., Dufour, S., et al. 2020. Unravelling the changes during induced vitellogenesis in female European eel through RNA-Seq: what happens to the liver? Plos One, 15: e0236438. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236438
- Bhakta, D., Das, B.K., Kamble, S.P., Solanki, S., et al. 2024. Reproductive biology of estuarine migrants *Mugil cephalus* of India. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 70: 1-10.
- Boubekeur, R. & Ramdane, Z. 2021. New data on the reproductive activity of *Mugil cephalus* L. from Algerian coast. Zoology and Ecology, 31: 134-147.
- Castellanos-Juárez, M., Mendoza-Carranza, M., Pacheco-Almanzar, E., Álvarez-Hernández, S.H., et al. 2024. The regularity of the striped mullet *Mugil cephalus* spawning in accordance with Gulf of Mexico tides. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 107: 491-501.
- Çek, S., Bromage, N., Randall, C. & Rana, K. 2001. Oogenesis, hepatosomatic and gonadosomatic indexes, and sex ratio in rosy barb (*Puntius conchonius*). Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 1: 33-41.
- Cerdá, J., Calman, B.G., LaFleur, G.J. & Limesand, S. 1996. Pattern of vitellogenesis and follicle matura-

tional competence during the ovarian follicular cycle of *Fundulus heteroclitus*. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 103: 24-35.

- Das, H.P. 1977. The fecundity of grey mullet *Mugil* cephalus L. along the Goa coast. Mahasagar, 10: 79-82.
- de Vlaming, V., Grossman, G. & Chapman, F. 1982. On the use of the gonosomatic index. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology, 73: 31-39. doi: 10.1016/0300-9629(82)90088-3
- Espino-Barr, E., Gallardo-Cabello, M., Puente-Gómez, M.
  & García-Boa, A. 2016. Reproduction of *Mugil cephalus* (Percoidei: Mugilidae) off the central Mexican Pacific coast. Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal, 7: 1-9.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2022. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2022. Towards blue transformation. FAO, Rome.
- Finucane, J.H., Collins, L.A. & Barger, L.E. 1978. Spawning of the striped mullet, *Mugil cephalus*, in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Northeast Gulf Science, 2: 148-151.
- Greeley, M.S., Calder, D.R. & Wallace, R.A. 1987. Oocyte growth and development in the striped mullet, *Mugil cephalus*, during seasonal ovarian recrudescence: relationship to fecundity and size at maturity. Fishery Bulletin, 85: 187-200.
- Krivobok, M.N. 1964. On the role of the liver in the maturation of the ovaries of Baltic herring *Clupea harengus membras* L. Voprosy Ikhtiologii, 4: 483-494.
- Liu, Y., Liu, Q., Xu, S., Wang, Y., et al. 2021. A deep insight of spermatogenesis and hormone levels of aqua-cultured turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Frontiers in Marine Science, 7: 592880. doi: 10.3389/ fmars.2020.592880
- Lubzens, E., Young, G., Bobe, J. & Cerdá, J. 2010. Oogenesis in teleosts: how fish eggs are formed. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 165: 367-389.
- McDonough, C.J., Roumillat, W.A. & Wenner, C.A. 2003. Fecundity and spawning season of striped mullet (*Mugil cephalus* L.) in South Carolina estuaries. Fishery Bulletin, 101: 822-834.
- Nunez, C., Silva, A., Soares, E. & Ganias, K. 2011. The use of hepatic and somatic indices and histological information to characterize the reproductive dynamics of Atlantic sardine *Sardina pilchardus* from the Portuguese coast. Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystems Science, 3: 127-144.

- Ramos-Júdez, S., Estévez, A., González-López, W.Á. & Duncan, N. 2023. Lipid and fatty acid composition of muscle, liver, ovary, and peritoneal fat in wild flathead grey mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) according to ovarian development. Theriogenology, 198: 317-326.
- Ramos-Santiago, E., Gil-López, H.A., Labastida-Che, A. & Gómez-Ortega, R. 2010. Reproducción y madurez gonádica de la lisa *Mugil cephalus* en las costas de Oaxaca y Chiapas. Ciencia Pesquera, 18: 79-89.
- Rao, K. & Babu, K.R. 2016. Reproductive biology of the flathead grey mullet, *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758) from Krishna estuarine region, east coast of Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies, 4: 483-488.
- Rivas, L.R. 1980. Synopsis of knowledge on the taxonomy, biology, distribution and fishery of the Gulf of Mexico mullets (Pisces: Mugilidae). In: Flandorfer, M. & Skuplen, L. (Eds.). Proceedings of a Workshop for Potential Fishery Resources of the Northern Gulf of Mexico, Mississippi-Alabama Sea Grant Consortium, Publication No. MASGP-80-012, pp. 34-53.
- Rizzo, E. & Bazzoli, N. 2020. Reproduction and embryogenesis. In: Baldisserotto, B., Urbinati, E.C. & Cyrino, J.E.P. (Eds.). Biology and physiology of freshwater neotropical fish. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 287-313.

Received: July 24, 2024; Accepted: December 7, 2024

- Saoudi, H. & Aoun, L. 2014. Grey mullet (*Mugil cephalus* L.) reproduction cycle in the northeast of Algeria, Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture, 9: 66-76.
- Selvaraj, J.J., Arunachalam, V., Coronado-Franco, K.V., Romero-Orjuela, L.V., et al. 2020. Time-series modeling of fishery landings in the Colombian Pacific Ocean using ARIMA model. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 39: 101477. doi: 10.1016/j.rsma. 2020.101477
- Soyinka, O.O. 2014. Observations on the sex ratio, fecundity, egg size and gonadosomatic index of grey mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) from high brackish tropical lagoon. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 9: 455-462.
- Titouah, H., Rahmani, A., Mouloud, M.N., Aberkane, B., et al. 2022. Reproduction activity of flathead mullet *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758) in wild condition in the northeast Algeria. Russian Journal of Marine Biology, 48: 504-512.
- Vallainc, D., Concu, D., Gimenez-Papiol, G., Loi, B., et al. 2021. Producing flathead grey mullet *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fries in captivity from sexually mature adults collected in Sardinian lagoons. Aquaculture Reports, 21: 100844.